I. <u>Fees</u>

Applicant believes that there are no additional fees due at this time. If there are

any additional fees due in respect to this amendment, please charge them to Deposit

Account No. 13-2165. Authority is hereby given to charge any such deficiency, or

credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 13-2165 Mathews, Collins, Shepherd

& McKay. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned if further information

is required.

II. Claim Objections

The Examiner has objected to claims 3, 18, 39 and 56 because of informalities

and requires that "filename" be changed to "file name". Appropriate correction has been

made applicant to claims 39 and 56. Claims 3 and 18 were previously cancelled by

preliminary amendment on April 18, 2003.

Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection.

III. 35 U.S.C. § 102

It is the Examiner's opinion that claims 37-70 are anticipated by US Patent No.

5,678,041 issued to Baker.

In particular it is the Examiner stated that Baker "taught a method for quality of

content of data provided from taught a method for quality of content of data provided

from a source between digital devices (figure 1, network resources 101-105 are

computing devices contains data resources) to a receiver (users 107-109 are retrieving the

Appl. No. 10/194,499

Amdt: dated April 11, 2005

Amendment & Response

data resources) of the data, the data is having at least one record (list 116), the at least one

record having at least one data field (URL101 and Resource Rating101), the method (see

title and Col 3 lines 8-32) comprising the following steps:

a. assigning a grade indicative of the quality of the content of the data (Col 4 lines

35-37);

b. linking the grade to the content of the data (Col 4 lines 13-16, Col 4 lines 32-

35);

c. wherein the receiver dynamically accesses the grade without having to access

the data (Col 5 lines 8-15 and Fig. 1, users dynamically access to the list for

rating information without having to access the network resources).

In the interest of prosecution efficiency the applicant has amended claim

independent claims 37 and 54 to more clearly state the present invention.

The applicant agrees that Baker discloses communicating digital data between

data sources. However, Baker neither discloses nor teaches a system for signaling content

quality of preexisting digital data between digital devices including a digital source and a

digital receiver, the preexisting digital data having a plurality of data records, each of the

plurality of data records having a plurality of data fields, assigning a grade indicative of the

quality of the content of the preexisting digital data using at least one or more predefined

sets of criteria; and, marking the preexisting digital data with the assigned grade

without changing and without accessing the content of the preexisting digital data;

wherein the digital receiver dynamically accesses the marked grade of the

preexisting digital data to determine suitability for subsequent use.

Baker discloses a rating database to determine access rights to resource identifiers

or pointers, such as a URL. The database is updated and modified by an

administrator/manager. In particular the database contains an access rating for which the

user has been assigned specific permissions by an administrator/manager (see column 3

lines 7-32). Baker provides a rating which determines if a user is granted access to the

content identified by the URL.

In contrast to Baker, the applicant's present invention is a system for signaling

content quality of preexisting digital data having a plurality of data records, each of the

plurality of data records having a plurality of data fields. A grade is assigned indicative of

the quality of the content of the preexisting digital data using at least one or more

predefined sets of criteria; and, marking the preexisting digital data with the assigned

grade without changing and without accessing the content of the preexisting digital

data; wherein the digital receiver dynamically accesses the marked grade of the

preexisting digital data to determine suitability for subsequent use of the preexisting

digital data without accessing the preexisting digital data; whereby another digital

receiver can independently determine suitability from the marked grade for another

subsequent use of the preexisting digital data without accessing the preexisting digital

data.

In the applicant's present invention in one claimed element feature the digital

receiver dynamically accesses the marked grade of the preexisting digital data to

determine suitability for subsequent use. Whereas, in Baker it is the

administrator/manager who determines suitability for subsequent use.

In the applicant's present invention another claimed element feature is marking

the preexisting digital data with the assigned grade without changing and without

accessing the content. Whereas, in Baker a database must be accessed in order to

determine if the user is granted permission to access the URL. Baker does not grade

and then mark the data.

Baker does not teach each and every element of the applicant's claimed invention.

Withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 is respectfully requested, as the

Examiner has failed to make a prima facia case of anticipation based on the cited prior

art.

IV. Summary

By this amendment, applicant has amended the claims to more clearly state the

present invention. Applicant believes that claims 37-70, the only remaining claims are in

condition for allowance.

Appl. No. 10/194,499 Amdt: dated April 11, 2005

Amendment & Response

Should there remain any questions or other matters whose resolution may be advanced by a telephone call, the Examiner is cordially invited to contact the applicant's undersigned attorney at his number below.

Respectfully submitted

Dated: May 3, 2005

David P. Krivoshik Reg. No. 39,258

Attorney for Applicant

MATHEWS, COLLINS, SHEPHERD & McKAY, P.A.

100 Thanet Circle, Suite 306

Princeton, NJ 08540

Tel: Fax:

609 924 8555 609 924 3036

Email: dkrivoshik@mathewslaw.com